UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,156	01/29/2004	Scott P. Taylor	7784-000694	6033
	7590 04/14/201 CKEY & PIERCE, PLO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828		PATTON, SPENCER D		
BLOOMFIELD) HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/768,156	TAYLOR, SCOTT P.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SPENCER PATTON	3664		

	SPENCER PATTON	3004	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a filed aft	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	.,		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,,	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / imenament (102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,12-16,18,20 and 25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: Claim 12, as reformatted, would overcome the object. 			
/KHOI TRAN/	/SPENCER PATTON/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664	Examiner, Art Unit 3664		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The specification, as originally filed, fails to provide support for identifying fade areas by comparing positional information of the mobile platform to predetermined mapped and stored position data. The specification provides support for determining the proximity of the mobile platform to fade areas based on such a comparison, but does not teach identifying fade areas using such a comparison. The application as originally filed only provides support for identifying fade areas based on predetermined and mapped signal strength data.